Position statement on indigenous peoples and mining

May 2013
ICMM members recognise that they have a significant role to play in creating a safer and more sustainable mining and metals industry. Through their commitments they work together, alongside governments and local communities to improve quality of life beyond the provision of the minerals and metals that sustain modern living.

All member companies are represented on ICMM’s council by their CEO.

Our members

African Rainbow Minerals
AngloAmerican
AngloGold Ashanti
Antofagasta Minerals
Areva Mines
Barrick
BHP Billiton
Codelco
Freeport-McMoRan
Glencore
Goldcorp
Gold Fields
Hydro
JX Nippon
Lonmin
Mitsubishi Materials
MMG
Newmont
Polyus
Rio Tinto
South32
Sumitomo Metal Mining
Teck

Notes

1. As defined in article 1 of the International Labour Organization’s Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention (ILO 169).

2. The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights outlines the responsibility of business to ‘avoid infringing on the rights of others and to address adverse impacts with which they are involved’.

3. This involves all parties being willing to: (i) engage in negotiation and to meet at reasonable times and frequency; (ii) provide information needed for informed negotiation and explore key issues of importance; (iii) agree mutually acceptable procedures for negotiation that include sufficient time for decision making; and (iv) compromise in pursuit of reaching a formal and documented agreement. This is consistent with the attributes of good faith negotiation outlined in IFC’s Guidance Note 7 on indigenous peoples (paragraph GN 25).

4. Members are expected to implement the commitments in this position statement by May 2015. The commitments will not apply to projects that have started the approvals and permitting processes at the time of the adoption of the position statement.

5. As of October 2012, 22 countries had ratified ILO 169 which is legally binding in those states.


7. As expressed in the UN’s Department of Economic and Social Affairs Resource Kit on Indigenous Peoples’ Issues [2008].

8. As defined in ILO 169 and outlined in section 1.3 of ICMM’s Good Practice Guide: Indigenous Peoples and Mining [2010].

9. Includes natural areas with cultural and/or spiritual values such as sacred groves or water bodies.

10. Relocation of indigenous peoples and impacts on critical cultural heritage should be avoided to the extent possible.
Position statement on indigenous peoples and mining

1

Overview

This position statement sets out ICMM members’ approach to engaging with indigenous peoples and to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) and replaces ICMM’s 2008 Mining and indigenous peoples position statement. ICMM’s vision is for constructive relationships between mining and metals companies and indigenous peoples that are based on mutual respect, meaningful engagement, trust and mutual benefit. Recognising the potential vulnerability of indigenous peoples, the commitments in this position statement may be summarised as requiring members to:

- respect the rights, interests, special connections to lands and waters, and perspectives of indigenous peoples, where mining projects are to be located on lands traditionally owned by or under customary use of indigenous peoples
- adopt and apply engagement and consultation processes that ensure the meaningful participation of indigenous communities in decision making, through a process that is consistent with their traditional decision-making processes and is based on good faith negotiation
- work to obtain the consent of indigenous peoples where required by this position statement.

ICMM principles of particular relevance to indigenous peoples and project decision making are:

- Principle 3: Respect human rights and the interests, cultures, customs and values of employees and others affected by our activities.
- Principle 6: Pursue continual improvement in environmental performance issues, such as water stewardship, energy use and climate change.
- Principle 9: Pursue continual improvement in social performance and contribute to the social, economic and institutional development of host countries and communities.

In accordance with the principles, ICMM has also developed a number of position statements that further elaborate member commitments to particular issues. Company members comply with these statements by incorporating them into their operational practices.
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Background on ICMM principles and position statements

All ICMM member companies implement the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework as a condition of membership. This includes commitments to implement 10 principles throughout their businesses, to report in line with the Global Reporting Initiative’s (GRI) Sustainability Reporting Framework and to obtain independent external assurance that the ICMM commitments are being met (this framework is described in detail at www.icmm.com/member-commitments).

ICMM principles of particular relevance to indigenous peoples and project decision making are:

- Principle 3: Respect human rights and the interests, cultures, customs and values of employees and others affected by our activities.
- Principle 6: Pursue continual improvement in environmental performance issues, such as water stewardship, energy use and climate change.
- Principle 9: Pursue continual improvement in social performance and contribute to the social, economic and institutional development of host countries and communities.

In accordance with the principles, ICMM has also developed a number of position statements that further elaborate member commitments to particular issues. Company members comply with these statements by incorporating them into their operational practices.
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Recognition statements

ICMM members recognise that:

1. Indigenous peoples often have profound and special connections to, and identification with, lands and waters and these are tied to their physical, spiritual, cultural and economic well-being. They may also have valuable traditional knowledge and experience in managing the environment in a sustainable manner. Indigenous peoples in many regions of the world have been historically disadvantaged and may often still experience discrimination, high levels of poverty and other forms of political and social disadvantage. Mining and metals projects can have significant impacts on local communities, both positive and negative.

2. The interests of Indigenous peoples in mining and metals projects are generally recognised as one or more of the following: owners of formal title to land or recognised legal interests in land or resources; claimants for ownership of land or resources; customary owners or occupants of land or resources; users of land or resources for purposes such as hunting, fishing, gathering of seeds/fruits and medicines, or for spiritual or ritual purposes; in material objects or resources of cultural significance; in landscapes which have special significance because of association, tradition or beliefs; members of host communities whose social, economic and physical environment may be affected by mining and associated activities.

3. Indigenous peoples have individual and collective rights and interests and it is internationally recognised that their rights should be protected by governments and respected by companies. Two of the key international instruments in this area are International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention No. 169 on Indigenous and Tribal Peoples (1989), and the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) adopted by the UN General Assembly in September 2007. UNDRIP sets out rights that countries should aspire to recognise, guarantee and implement and establishes a framework for discussion and dialogue between indigenous peoples and States. 

4. Successful mining and metals projects require the support of a range of interested and affected parties. This includes both the formal legal and regulatory approvals granted by governments and the broad support of a company’s host communities. Indigenous peoples often have cultural characteristics, governance structures and ways of interacting and decision making that sets them apart from the non-indigenous population. This requires companies to engage in ways that are culturally appropriate and to pay special attention to the capacities, rights and interests of indigenous peoples, within the context of broader community engagement. States have the right to make decisions on the development of resources according to applicable national laws, including those laws implementing host country obligations under international law. Some countries have made an explicit consent provision under national or sub-national laws. In most countries however, ‘either indigenous peoples nor any other population group have the right to veto development projects that affect them’, so FPIC should be regarded as a ‘principle to be respected to the greatest degree possible in development planning and implementation’. 

5. States also have an important role to play in the process of engaging with indigenous peoples. They may be involved in determining which communities should be considered indigenous, in shaping the process for achieving FPIC and in determining how this relates to regulated processes for ensuring community participation in decision making. Given their role in balancing the rights and interests of indigenous peoples with the wider population, states may also play an important role in supporting the resolution of disagreements that may arise between indigenous peoples and companies in the pursuit of FPIC.

6. In some countries, the term indigenous may be controversial and local terms may be in use that are broadly equivalent (such as tribal peoples, first peoples, native people, aboriginal people). In other situations, there may be no recognition of indigeneity by states, or the term may have negative associations that discourage people from acknowledging indigenous identity. Irrespective of the local context, ICMM members reject any discrimination or disadvantage that may be related to culture, identity or vulnerability and will seek to apply the principles embodied in this position statement to groups that exhibit the commonly accepted characteristics of indigenous peoples.
Commitments

In addition to existing commitments under the ICMM Sustainable Development Framework, member companies commit to:

1. Engage with potentially impacted indigenous peoples with the objectives of: (i) ensuring that the development of mining and metals projects fosters respect for the rights, interests, aspirations, culture and natural resource-based livelihoods of indigenous peoples; (ii) designing projects to avoid adverse impacts and minimising, managing or compensating for unavoidable residual impacts; and (iii) ensuring sustainable benefits and opportunities for indigenous peoples through the development of mining and metals projects.

2. Understand and respect the rights, interests and perspectives of indigenous peoples regarding a project and its potential impacts. Social and environmental impact assessments or other social baseline analyses will be undertaken to identify those who may be impacted by a project as well as the nature and extent of potential impacts on indigenous peoples and any other potentially impacted communities. The conduct of such studies should be participatory and inclusive to help build broad cross-cultural understanding between companies and communities and in support of the objectives described in commitment 1 above.

3. Agree on appropriate engagement and consultation processes with potentially impacted indigenous peoples and relevant government authorities as early as possible during project planning, to ensure the meaningful participation of indigenous peoples in decision making. Where required, support should be provided to build community capacity for good faith negotiation on an equitable basis. These processes should strive to be consistent with indigenous peoples’ decision-making processes and reflect internationally accepted human rights, and be commensurate with the scale of the potential impacts and vulnerability of impacted communities. The processes should embody the attributes of good faith negotiation and be documented in a plan that identifies representatives of potentially impacted indigenous communities and government, agreed consultation processes and protocols, reciprocal responsibilities of parties to the engagement process and agreed avenues of recourse in the event of disagreements or impasses occurring (see commitment 6 below). The plan should also define what would constitute consent from indigenous communities that may be significantly impacted. Agreed engagement and consultation processes should be applied in collaboration with potentially impacted indigenous communities, in a manner that ensures their meaningful participation in decision making.

4. Work to obtain the consent of indigenous communities for new projects (and changes to existing projects) that are located on lands traditionally owned by or under customary use of indigenous peoples and are likely to have significant adverse impacts on indigenous peoples, including where relocation of indigenous peoples and/or significant adverse impacts on critical cultural heritage are likely to occur. Consent processes should focus on reaching agreement on the basis for which a project (or changes to existing projects) should proceed. These processes should neither confer veto rights to individuals or sub-groups nor require unanimous support from potentially impacted indigenous peoples (unless legally mandated). Consent processes should not require companies to agree to aspects not under their control.

5. Collaborate with the responsible authorities to achieve outcomes consistent with the commitments in this position statement, in situations where government is responsible for managing indigenous peoples’ interests in a way that limits company involvement. Where a host government requires members to follow processes that have been designed to achieve the outcomes sought through this position statement, ICMM members will not be expected to establish parallel processes.

6. Address the likelihood that differences of opinion will arise, which in some cases may lead to setbacks or delays in reaching a negotiated agreement in good faith. Companies and potentially impacted indigenous communities should agree on reasonable tests or avenues of recourse at the outset, to be applied where differences of opinion arise. This might include seeking mediation or advice from mutually acceptable parties. Where commitment 4 applies and consent is not forthcoming despite the best efforts of all parties, in balancing the rights and interests of indigenous peoples with the wider population, government might determine that a project should proceed and specify the conditions that should apply. In such circumstances, ICMM members will determine whether they ought to remain involved with a project.
Future ICMM work

ICMM commits to continued engagement with indigenous peoples and their representatives and will continue to develop approaches relating to the interests and concerns of indigenous peoples. Among the elements of work currently planned or anticipated for the next few years are:

- Updating ICMM’s Good Practice Guidance on Indigenous Peoples and Mining to support members in implementing this position statement.
- The promotion of good practice more broadly across the mining and metals sector.
- Continued dialogue with indigenous peoples’ organisations, development institutions and/or governments at the national and international levels.